

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

SONRISA HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION,  
et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:16-CV-848 JCM (GWF)

ORDER

Presently before the court is defendant SFR Investments Pool's motion for demand for security of costs. (Doc. #6). Defendant asks that plaintiff file security of costs in the amount of \$500.00 in favor of the defendant pursuant to NRS 18.130(1) because plaintiff is a non-resident of Nevada.

The Ninth Circuit recognizes that "federal district courts have inherent power to require plaintiffs to post security for costs." *Simulnet E. Assocs. v. Ramada Hotel Operating Co.*, 37 F.3d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1994). A federal district court typically follows the forum state's practice regarding security of costs, particularly when a party is a non-resident. *See, e.g.*, 10 Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2671 (3d ed. 1998). Nevada Revised Statute 18.130 provides that the court may require an out-of-state plaintiff to post a security for costs in an amount up to \$500.00 upon request by a defendant. Nev. Rev. Stat. 18.130.

This court finds it appropriate to order security of costs in this matter.

...

...


...

1 Accordingly,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion for  
3 demand for security of costs, (doc. #6), be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall post security in the amount of \$500.00  
5 within seven (7) days of the entry of this order.

6 DATED May 12, 2016.

7   
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE